Path-integral molecular dynamics simulation of 3C-SiC

Rafael Ramírez and Carlos P. Herrero

Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Campus de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

Eduardo R. Hernández

Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona (ICMAB), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Campus de Bellaterra, 08193 Barcelona, Spain

Manuel Cardona

Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Heisenbergstrasse 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany (Received 8 October 2007; revised manuscript received 13 December 2007; published 24 January 2008)

Molecular dynamics simulations of 3C-SiC have been performed as a function of pressure and temperature. These simulations treat both electrons and atomic nuclei by quantum mechanical methods. While the electronic structure of the solid is described by an efficient tight-binding Hamiltonian, the nuclei dynamics is treated by the path-integral formulation of statistical mechanics. To assess the relevance of nuclear quantum effects, the results of quantum simulations are compared to others where either the Si nuclei, the C nuclei, or both atomic nuclei are treated as classical particles. We find that the experimental thermal expansion of 3C-SiC is realistically reproduced by our simulations. The calculated bulk modulus of 3C-SiC and its pressure derivative at room temperature show also good agreement with the available experimental data. The effect of the electron-phonon interaction on the direct electronic gap of 3C-SiC has been calculated as a function of temperature and related to results obtained for bulk diamond and Si. Comparison to available experimental data shows satisfactory agreement, although we observe that the employed tight-binding model tends to overestimate the magnitude of the electron-phonon interaction. The effect of treating the atomic nuclei as classical particles on the direct gap of 3C-SiC has been assessed. We find that nonlinear quantum effects related to the atomic masses are particularly relevant at temperatures below 250 K.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.77.045210

PACS number(s): 63.20.K-, 05.30.-d, 71.15.Pd

I. INTRODUCTION

Silicon carbide has attracted much interest in technology as a wide-gap semiconductor in high power electronic devices.¹ It features large electronic band gaps, extreme hardness, large thermal conductivity, and excellent chemical stability. It is a prototype of materials which exhibit several polytypes (more than 200) and the only IV-IV compound which possesses long range order polytypes. The cubic modification 3*C*-SiC has a zinc-blende structure, being thus the type with closest structural relationship to both diamond and elemental Si. From the point of view of basic research, there exists a large body of experimental data on silicon carbide, so that the quality of theoretical approaches and computer simulations can be contrasted against them.²

The influence of anharmonic effects in the vibrational properties of 3C-SiC is revealed by several experimental studies. The pressure dependence of optical phonons in 3C-SiC has been measured by means of first- and second-order Raman scattering up to 23 GPa,³ while their temperature dependence has been studied by first-order Raman scattering up to 750 K.⁴ The pressure dependence of phonon lifetimes, which provides detailed information on the anharmonic phonon-phonon interaction, has been also calculated from first principles using perturbation theory.^{5,6} These calculations permitted a detailed analysis of the microscopic anharmonic mechanism and yielded accurate predictions of experimental properties.

The thermal expansion of the lattice is another property determined by the anharmonicity of the interatomic potential. There is no evidence of negative thermal expansion in 3C-SiC, in contrast to diamond and elemental Si, which show negative thermal expansion at temperatures below 100 K.^{7,8} An analysis of the most reliable experimental data for the thermal expansion of 3C-SiC led to a tabulation of recommended values for this quantity in Ref. 9. Theoretical results for the temperature dependence of the linear thermal expansion for 3C-SiC have also been reported using the quasiharmonic approximation.^{10,11}

The optical properties of 3*C*-SiC have been measured using spectroscopic ellipsometry as a function of temperature in the range between 90 and 550 K.¹² The electron-phonon interaction is responsible for the decrease found in the interband transition energies as temperature increases. We recall that the renormalization of the optical response of semiconductors by electron-phonon interaction has been a topic of increasing interest in recent years,^{13,14} with special focus in the characterization of isotopic effects.¹⁵ From a theoretical point of view, the electron-phonon interaction in tetrahedral semiconductors has been studied so far by perturbation theory.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

An interesting alternative to perturbational approaches to study the coupling between electronic and vibrational degrees of freedom in solids is the combination of the pathintegral (PI) formulation with electronic structure methods. The path-integral approach to statistical mechanics allows us to study finite temperature properties that are related to the quantum nature of the atomic nuclei.^{19,20} An advantage of its combination with electronic structure methods is that both the electrons and the atomic nuclei are then treated quantum mechanically in the framework of the Born-Oppenheimer (BO) approximation, so that phonon-phonon and electron-phonon interactions are automatically considered in the simulation. This unified scheme has been applied so far to the study of solids and molecules containing light atoms.^{21–28} A recent application of this method has shown that the electron-phonon coupling leads to a zero-point renormalization of the direct electronic gap of diamond of 10%,²⁹ in agreement with a previous perturbational analysis.¹⁷

In this paper, we present a path-integral molecular dynamics study of 3C-SiC at temperatures between 100 and 1200 K and pressures up to 60 GPa. The electronic structure was treated with a nonorthogonal tight-binding (TB) Hamiltonian as a reasonable compromise to reduce the computational cost of deriving the BO energy surface for the nuclear dynamics. We are interested in the simulation of vibrational properties that rely on phonon-phonon interactions, such as the temperature dependence of the linear expansion coefficient, and also in the simulation of electronic properties that are determined by electron-phonon coupling, such as the temperature dependence of the direct electronic gap.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the computational method employed in our simulations. Our results are presented and discussed in Sec. III, dealing with the thermal expansion coefficient and the temperature dependence of the direct electronic gap of 3C-SiC. The results for the electronic gap in 3C-SiC will be then related to those derived for diamond and crystalline Si. The results of the simulation will also be compared to available experimental data. The pressure dependence of the electronic gap as well as the results for the bulk modulus and its pressure derivative at 300 K will complete Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we present the main conclusions of the paper.

II. COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

The formalism employed here for the quantum treatment of electrons and nuclei is based on the combination of the path-integral formulation, to derive properties of the atomic nuclei in thermal equilibrium, with an electronic tightbinding Hamiltonian to describe the BO energy surface, $E_{BO}(\mathbf{R})$, of 3C-SiC as a function of the nuclear configuration **R**. This approach has been recently used in our simulation of diamond²⁹ and isolated hydrogenic impurities in diamond³⁰ in the canonical NVT ensemble (number of atoms N, volume V, and temperature T are constant). Therefore, we present here only a brief summary of the method, with focus on those extensions required for the 3C-SiC simulations that were performed in both the NVT and the isothermal-isobaric *NPT* ensemble (*N*, pressure *P*, and *T* are constant). The combination of the path-integral formalism with ab initio Hamiltonians based on density functional theory (DFT) is an interesting alternative that has been reviewed in the literature,^{31,32} but it has not been applied so far to the investigation of the temperature dependence of the optical response in semiconductors. Typically, tight-binding methods are 2 orders of magnitude faster than ab initio DFT methods.

The employed tight-binding one-electron effective Hamiltonian is based on density functional (DF) calculations.³³ The TB energy consists of two terms, the first one of which is the sum of occupied one-electron state energies and the second is given by a pairwise repulsive interatomic potential. The one-electron states are derived by diagonalizing a two-center Hamiltonian using a minimal basis of nonorthogonal atomic orbitals. The pair potential is adjusted so that the DF energy is reproduced for a series of reference systems (e.g., the dimer, the crystal, etc.) The total energy is thus obtained by adding to the electronic energy the repulsive pair potential. Preliminary calculations on 3C-SiC revealed that the original parametrization of the pair potential between Si and C leads to an overestimation of anharmonic effects in 3C-SiC, a fact that motivated us to improve the parametrization of the Si-C pair potential, as explained in Appendix A.

The computational advantage of using the path-integral formulation of statistical mechanics is based on the so-called "quantum-classical" isomorphism. Thus, this method exploits the fact that the partition function of a quantum system is formally equivalent to that of a classical one, obtained by replacing each quantum particle (here, atomic nucleus) by a ring polymer consisting of L "beads," connected by harmonic springs.^{19,20,34,35} In many-body problems, the configuration space of the classical isomorph is usually sampled by Monte Carlo or molecular dynamics (MD) techniques. Here, we have employed the PI MD method, which has been found to require less computer time resources when applied to our problem. Effective algorithms to perform PI MD simulations in the canonical NVT ensemble have been described in detail by Martyna et al.³⁶ and by Tuckerman.³⁷ The extensions for PI MD simulations in the NPT ensemble require the definition of appropriate dynamical equations of motion to include the volume as fluctuating dynamical variable and multiple time step algorithms to integrate these equations numerically. These extensions are well documented in Refs. 38–40. For the simulation of 3C-SiC in the NPT ensemble, only isotropic volume fluctuations were allowed. All calculations presented here were carried out using an originally developed software, which enables efficient PI MD simulations on parallel supercomputers.

Simulations were performed on a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell of the 3C-SiC face-centered cubic cell with periodic boundary conditions, containing N=64 atoms. Convergence criteria used previously for diamond were proved to apply also for the 3C-SiC simulations. Thus, we used only the Γ point for the sampling of the Brillouin zone of the simulation supercell in the electronic structure calculation. A set of 4 k points would increase the computer time by a factor of 10 without significant changes of the results presented here. For a given temperature, a typical run consisted of 10⁴ MD steps for system equilibration, followed by 10⁵ steps for the calculation of ensemble average properties. To have a nearly constant precision in the path-integral results at different temperatures, we have taken a number of beads L (Trotter number) that scales with LT=6000 K. The atomic masses of C and Si were set to 12.011 and 28.086 amu, respectively. Within the employed formalism, the classical limit of a given nucleus is reached by setting the corresponding nuclear mass tending to infinity. Thus, for comparison with the results of our full PI MD simulations, we have carried out some PI MD simulations where the mass of either C or Si was set to a very large number $(8 \times 10^4 \text{ amu})$. The main effect of setting such a large nuclear mass in the path-integral simulation is that the ring polymer associated with the atomic nucleus shrinks and looks just like a point (classical) particle. Moreover, the calculation of thermostatted equations of motion by using chains of Nosé-Hoover thermostats (see below) ensures that the canonical probability distribution of the shrinked classical-like particles is correctly sampled as a function of temperature. Also, classical MD simulations were performed with the same interatomic interaction. The classical limit is easily achieved within the PI algorithm by setting the Trotter number L=1.

The quantum simulations were performed using a staging transformation for the bead coordinates. Chains of four Nosé-Hoover thermostats were coupled to each of the staging variables to generate the canonical *NVT* ensemble.³⁹ To integrate the equations of motion, we have used the reversible reference system propagator algorithm, which allows one to define different time steps for the integration of the fast and slow degrees of freedom.³⁶ For the evolution of the fast dynamical variables that include the thermostats and harmonic bead interactions, we used a time step $\delta t = \Delta t/4$, where Δt is the time step associated with the calculation of TB forces. A value of $\Delta t = 0.5$ fs was found to provide adequate convergence. The thermostat "mass" parameter Q is chosen to evolve in the scale of the harmonic bead forces by being defined as³⁹

$$Q = \frac{\beta \hbar^2}{L},\tag{1}$$

where $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$ represents the inverse temperature. In the case of the *NPT* ensemble, a chain of four barostats was coupled to the volume and the barostat mass parameter was set 100 times larger than the thermostat one. By calculating the average pressure in the *NVT* ensemble, we could check the internal consistency between our *NPT* and *NVT* simulations. The pressure estimator used in the *NVT* ensemble is given in Appendix B. The direct electronic gap of 3*C*-SiC was derived as

$$E_0 = \langle E_c \rangle - \langle E_v \rangle, \tag{2}$$

where $\langle E_c \rangle$ and $\langle E_v \rangle$ are the ensemble averages of the oneelectron states associated with the bottom of the conduction band and the top of the valence band at the Γ (**k=0**) reciprocal lattice point. For details on the calculation of the expectation values $\langle E_c \rangle$ and $\langle E_v \rangle$, see Ref. 29.

Several sets of simulations were performed in this work. Two sets of 3*C*-SiC simulations were done at temperatures between 100 and 1200 K. One set corresponds to *NPT* simulations at constant pressure (*P*=0), and the other to *NVT* simulations at constant volume (*a*=4.3594 Å). Another set of *NPT* simulations was made at room temperature (*T*=300 K) and pressures in the range between -10 and 60 GPa. Additional constant volume simulations were done for diamond and Si as a function of temperature.



FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the cell parameter of 3C-SiC. Open circles are the results of PI MD simulations at zero pressure. The continuous line is a fit to Eq. (3). The dotted line is the result derived from the experimental values of the thermal expansion coefficients given in Ref. 9. The error bar is derived from the uncertainty of the experimental data. The statistical error of the simulation results is of the size of the symbols.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results derived from our PI MD simulations are presented in the next subsections. First, we focus on the temperature dependence of the cell parameter and the linear expansion coefficient at zero pressure.

A. Thermal expansion

The temperature dependence of the cell parameter a(T) of 3*C*-SiC at zero pressure is presented in Fig. 1. The simulation results are plotted as open circles. The dotted line is derived from the recommended experimental values of the linear expansion coefficient of 3*C*-SiC by a numerical integration.⁹ The resulting relative changes in the cell parameter $\Delta a(T)$ were converted to an absolute scale by using the experimental value of a=4.3596 Å at 297 K.⁴¹ Both simulation and experimental data were fitted with a standard Bose-Einstein expression¹⁴

$$a(T) = a_0 + b \left[1 + \frac{2}{\exp(\Theta_a/T) - 1} \right].$$
 (3)

The values of the fitted parameters are summarized in Table I. The results in Fig. 1 show that the simulations and experimental data agree within the uncertainty of the experimental values. At temperatures below 600 K, the calculated cell parameter a(T) shows a rigid shift with respect to experiment of about 10^{-3} Å toward larger values. This shift increases slightly at higher temperatures. The most plausible explanation for this high temperature deviation of simulated data from experiment is that the employed TB model overestimates the anharmonicity of the interatomic potential when the amplitude of nuclei vibrations is activated thermally to

TABLE I. Values of the parameters obtained by fitting the temperature dependence of the lattice constant, a(T), of 3*C*-SiC with Eq. (3). The results correspond to *NPT* simulations at *P*=0. The experimental parameters were derived from the recommended thermal expansion coefficients of Ref. 9. The PI MD parameters for those simulations where the Si or C nuclei are treated in the classical limit were obtained by adding a linear term, a_1T , to Eq. (3). The classical MD parameters correspond to the cubic function given in Eq. (4).

	a ₀ (Å)	$\overset{a_1}{(\text{\AA K}^{-1})}$	<i>b</i> (Å)	Θ_a (K)
PI MD	4.3463		0.0131	975.7
Expt.	4.3475		0.0110	898.0
PI MD (Si cla.)	4.3458	1.0×10^{-5}	0.0097	1077.2
PI MD (C cla.)	4.3451	1.1×10^{-5}	0.0077	921.7
	a ₀ (Å)	$\stackrel{a_1}{(\text{\AA } K^{-1})}$	a_2 (Å K ⁻²)	a ₃ (Å K ⁻³)
Classical MD	4.3483	2.1×10^{-5}	6.4×10^{-9}	-2.3×10^{-12}

values significantly larger than low temperature zero-point motions.

It is interesting to quantify the renormalization of the cell parameter a(T) as a consequence of the quantum character of the atomic nuclei by comparison to results of classical simulations. Moreover, the employed formalism allows us to treat the atomic nuclei either quantum mechanically or in the classical limit just by tuning the nuclear mass. Thus, we have also calculated the effect in a(T) of considering only one type of nuclei classically. The results of these simulations are presented in Fig. 2. The full PI MD results (circles) are compared to classical MD simulations (triangles). While the classical calculations yield a finite linear expansion for T tending to zero, the quantum PI MD calculations yield cell parameters which become independent of T at low T, in agreement with the experimental results. The quantum PI MD results that selectively treat the Si or the C nuclei as classical particles are shown by squares and diamonds, respectively. The lines represent numerical fits to the simulation results. The classical MD data were fitted with a cubic function,

$$a_{cla}(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_i T^i,$$
 (4)

while the PI MD simulation results treating either the Si or C nuclei classically were fitted to Eq. (3) plus an additional linear term, a_1T , to account for the finite slope of the curves at T=0. The values of the fitted coefficients are summarized in Table I.

The cell parameter $a_{cla}(T=0)$ amounts to 4.3483 Å, while the extrapolated value of the PI MD simulation is a(T=0)=4.3594 Å. Therefore, the zero-point renormalization of the cell parameter of 3*C*-SiC amounts to $\Delta a/a=2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ ($\Delta a=a-a_{cla}$). This value is slightly lower than the average (2.9×10⁻³) of the zero-point renormalization of diamond



FIG. 2. The temperature dependence of the cell parameter of 3C-SiC (circles) derived from PI MD simulations is compared to PI MD simulations performed by selectively setting either the Si nuclei (squares) or the C nuclei (diamonds) as classical particles. The results of classical MD simulations are shown by triangles. The lines represent empirical fits, as explained in the text. The statistical error of the simulation results is of the size of the symbols.

 (3.9×10^{-3}) and Si (1.9×10^{-3}) derived from experiments on crystals with different isotopic masses.¹⁵

The a(T) curves where either the C or Si nuclei are treated classically provide evidence for the linear character of the renormalization of the lattice parameter. This fact is clearly seen in Fig. 3, where the absolute values of the cell parameter renormalization, $\Delta a(T) = a(T) - a_{cla}(T)$, are represented up to 800 K. We observe that the sum of the cell parameter renormalizations obtained when either the Si or C nuclei are



FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the cell parameter renormalization of 3C-SiC. The results derived from full quantum simulation (continuous line) are compared to those derived by treating either the Si or the C nuclei in the classical limit (dashed and dotted lines, respectively). The dashed-dotted line shows the sum of the separate Si and C renormalizations. The curves have been derived from the fits shown in Fig. 2.



FIG. 4. Thermal expansion coefficient of 3*C*-SiC as a function of temperature. Closed circles are experimental data from Ref. 9 and their estimated error bars are shown at 500 and 1000 K. The simulation results are derived from the numerical fits shown in Fig. 2. The classical MD result at temperatures above 800 K requires to add higher-order terms to the cubic fit given by Eq. (4). The results derived from DFT calculations in combination with a quasiharmonic approximation are taken from Ref. 11.

treated quantum mechanically is nearly identical to the total renormalization obtained in the full PI MD simulations. This linear behavior is probably related to the fact that the cell parameter renormalization is relatively small, so that in terms of perturbation theory, it can be realistically described by second-order terms in the atomic displacements.

The recommended experimental values of the linear thermal expansion $\alpha(T)$ of 3C-SiC are shown in Fig. 4 as closed circles. Error bars represent the uncertainty of the experimental results. The simulation results presented in Fig. 4 were derived from the fits shown in Fig. 2 for a(T). The agreement between our PI MD results for $\alpha(T)$ and the experimental data is satisfactory in the whole temperature range. The largest deviation is found at temperatures above 700 K where the thermal expansion of 3C-SiC is overestimated by about 8% by our model. Although the deviation between PI MD simulated results and measured data is well within the experimental error bar, we stress that the TB model seems to overestimate the anharmonicity of the interatomic potential at temperatures above 600 K. The thermal expansion coefficient obtained in the classical MD simulations at a given temperature is always larger than the corresponding PI MD value. This behavior is expected from the fact that the classical thermal expansion is finite in the zerotemperature limit (while it vanishes in the quantum case) and from the consideration that both sets of simulations should converge one to the other at high enough temperatures. Note that the deviation from experiment is always larger for classical than for quantum simulations, while the PI MD results where either the Si or the C nuclei are treated as classical particles lie between both limits. The classical simulation results presented in Fig. 4 have required to add higher-order terms to the cubic fit given by Eq. (4) at temperatures above 800 K.

TABLE II. Relative one-electron energies at the symmetry point Γ for a static 3*C*-SiC lattice. LMTO results are from Ref. 42, and EPM data from Ref. 43. All values are in eV.

	EPM	LMTO	TB
Γ^{c}_{15}	6.5	7.8	7.6
Γ_1^c	5.9	6.7	9.9
Γ^{v}_{15}	0	0	0
Γ_1^v	-19.0	-15.5	-13.6

The quality of our results is comparable to previous calculations of $\alpha(T)$ by using either *ab initio* DFT electronic Hamiltonians¹¹ or phenomenological models¹⁰ to determine harmonic vibrational frequencies and a quasiharmonic approximation to take into account the anharmonicity of the interatomic potential. The DFT result of Ref. 11 has been plotted in Fig. 4. The deviation from experimental data found at high temperature is probably caused by anharmonic effects not taken into account by the quasiharmonic approximation.

B. Direct electronic gap

The one-electron energies derived by the TB model for 3C-SiC at the symmetry point Γ are compared to results of linear muffin-tin-orbital calculations⁴² and empirical pseudopotential method⁴³ in Table II. These energies are obtained with the atoms fixed in their crystallographic positions and thus neglect the effects of lattice vibrations. The employed TB model predicts that the first direct gap E_0 of 3C-SiC at Γ appears between electronic states with Γ_{15} symmetry. This fact is in contradiction with the other electronic Hamiltonians that show that the conduction band bottom is of Γ_1 symmetry at Γ .^{42,43} In the case of diamond and Si, the bottom of the conduction band at Γ is found to be of Γ_{15} symmetry by *ab initio* calculations.^{44,45} The repulsion between the $\Gamma_{25'}$ valence band and the Γ_{15} conduction band, induced by the asymmetric potential, is probably responsible for the lowering of the Γ_1 conduction band with respect to the Γ_{15} counterpart in SiC. Although the employed TB model does not provide an accurate description of the conduction bands, it has demonstrated, by the study of the direct gap of diamond, that it is a realistic starting model to study electronphonon interaction effects.²⁹

The temperature dependence of the direct gap $E_0(T)$ derived by our *NPT* simulations of 3*C*-SiC is shown by circles in Fig. 5. The continuous line represents a fit of $E_0(T)$ to the Bose-Einstein expression

$$E_0(T) = e_0 - g \left[1 + \frac{2}{\exp(\Theta_E/T) - 1} \right].$$
 (5)

The extrapolated value of $E_0(T=0)$ amounts to 6.9 eV, while at 300 K, the gap is reduced to 6.84 eV and at 1000 K amounts to 6 eV. The decrease of E_0 with temperature is an effect of the electron-phonon interaction, and therefore it is expected to depend on the amplitude of the nuclei displacements. To assess this point, the results obtained for $E_0(T)$ by treating either the Si or the C nuclei as classical particles are



FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the direct energy gap $E_0(T)$ of 3*C*-SiC at Γ at *P*=0. The results derived from full quantum simulation (circles) are compared to PI MD simulations performed by selectively setting the Si nuclei (squares) or the C nuclei (diamonds) as classical particles. The results of classical MD simulations are shown by triangles. The lines represent empirical fits, as explained in the text. The statistical error of the simulation results is less than the size of the symbols.

presented in Fig. 5 as squares and diamonds, respectively. In both cases, the results were fitted to Eq. (5) with an additional linear term, e_1T , to account for the finite slope at T=0. The fitted parameters are summarized in Table III. The results of classical MD simulation for $E_{0,cla}(T)$ are given by triangles in Fig. 5, while the dashed-dotted line is a cubic fit as in Eq. (4). The classical simulation predicts $E_{0,cla}(T=0)$ =7.59 eV; thus the zero-point renormalization of the direct energy gap, $E_0-E_{0,cla}$, amounts to -0.69 eV, which is roughly 10% of the value of the gap. The zero-point renormalization in 3*C*-SiC is similar to that found for diamond by either PI MD simulations²⁹ or by perturbation theory.¹⁷

TABLE III. Values of the parameters obtained by fitting the temperature dependence of the direct energy gap $E_0(T)$ of 3*C*-SiC with Eq. (5). All results were derived by *NPT* simulations at *P*=0. The PI MD parameters for those cases where the Si or C nuclei are treated in the classical limit were obtained by adding a linear term, e_1T , to Eq. (5). The classical MD parameters correspond to a cubic function as in Eq. (4).

	<i>e</i> ₀ (eV)	e_1 (eV K ⁻¹)	g (eV)	Θ_E (K)
PI MD	7.548		0.643	887.2
PI MD (Si cla.)	7.578	-2.7×10^{-4}	0.501	817.9
PI MD (C cla.)	7.646	-3.6×10^{-4}	0.363	615.7
	e_0 (eV)	e_1 (eV K ⁻¹)	e_2 (eV K ⁻²)	e_3 (eV K ⁻³)
Classical MD	7.593	-12.1×10^{-4}	-6.2×10^{-7}	3.2×10^{-10}



FIG. 6. Temperature dependence of the direct band gap renormalization $\Delta E_0(T)$ of 3*C*-SiC at *P*=0. The results derived from full quantum simulation (continuous line) are compared to those derived by treating either the Si or the C nuclei in the classical limit (dashed and dotted lines, respectively). The dashed-dotted line shows the sum of the separate Si and C renormalizations. The curves have been derived from the fits shown in Fig. 5.

The temperature dependence of the direct gap renormalization, $\Delta E_0(T) = E_0(T) - E_{0,cla}(T)$, is shown in Fig. 6 by a full line. The separate Si contribution to the gap renormalization is obtained by a simulation where the C nuclei are treated classically. This result is shown by a dotted line in Fig. 6. The dashed line represents the separate C contribution, as derived from a simulation with classical Si nuclei. The sum of both Si and C increments (dashed-dotted line) is somewhat larger than the gap renormalization obtained in the full quantum simulation of 3C-SiC, in particular, at temperatures below 250 K, where the gap renormalization reaches its largest values. This nonlinear behavior of $\Delta E_0(T)$ is in contrast to the linear one found for the lattice parameter renormalization, $\Delta a(T)$, in Fig. 3. This nonlinearity is probably related to the fact that the relative gap renormalizations are found to be much larger than the relative cell parameter renormalizations. In terms of perturbation theory, the fact that the total gap renormalization is lower that the separate Si and C contributions implies that fourth-order terms in the nuclei displacements are important for $\Delta E_0(T)$, and their contribution is of opposite sign to the leading second-order terms.

The conclusion that fourth-order terms in the gap renormalization are not related to changes in the cell parameter is further demonstrated by the results shown in Figs. 7 and 8, where we show the values of the direct gap $E_0(T)$ and gap renormalizations $\Delta E_0(T)$ derived at constant volume by *NVT* simulations. The volume was kept fixed at the equilibrium value of the cell parameter (a=4.3594 Å) extrapolated for T=0 from our PI MD simulations (see Fig. 1). The direct gap $E_0(T)$ in Fig. 7 is presented for the full PI MD simulation, the classical MD simulation, and PI MD simulations treating either the Si or the C nuclei as classical particles. For each case, numerical fits to the simulation results were performed in the same way as explained for the zero-pressure results in Fig. 5. The fitted parameters are summarized in Table IV.



FIG. 7. Temperature dependence of the direct energy gap $E_0(T)$ of 3*C*-SiC at Γ at constant volume. The results derived from full quantum simulation (circles) are compared to PI MD simulations performed by selectively setting either the Si nuclei (squares) or the C nuclei (diamonds) as classical particles. The results of classical MD simulations are shown by triangles. The lines represent empirical fits, as explained in the text. The statistical error of the simulation results is less than the size of the symbols.

The gap renormalizations $\Delta E_0(T)$ in Fig. 8 show that nonlinear effects appear again at temperatures below 250 K in the case of constant volume simulations. Note that the comparison between Figs. 6 and 8 reveals that nonlinear effects in the gap renormalization are independent of the volume.

The difference between the values of $E_0(T)$ obtained in our PI MD simulations at P=0 (Fig. 5) and at constant volume (Fig. 7) allows us to quantify the thermal expansion



FIG. 8. Temperature dependence of the direct band gap renormalization $\Delta E_0(T)$ of 3*C*-SiC at constant volume. The results derived from full quantum simulation (continuous line) are compared to those derived by treating either the Si or the C nuclei in the classical limit (dashed and dotted lines, respectively). The dasheddotted line shows the sum of the separate Si and C renormalizations. The curves have been derived from the fits shown in Fig. 7.

TABLE IV. Values of the parameters obtained by fitting the temperature dependence of the direct energy gap $E_0(T)$ of 3*C*-SiC. The results correspond to constant volume *NVT* simulations using a cell parameter of *a*=4.3594 Å (see Table III for details on the fitting functions).

	e_0 (eV)	e_1 (eV K ⁻¹)	g (eV)	Θ_E (K)
PI MD	7.461		0.555	876.4
PI MD (Si cla.)	7.442	-2.3×10^{-4}	0.379	722.6
PI MD (C cla.)	7.508	-3.2×10^{-4}	0.252	517.5
	e_0 (eV)	e_1 (eV K ⁻¹)	e_2 (eV K ⁻²)	e_3 (eV K ⁻³)
Classical MD	7.541	-11.8×10^{-4}	-4.2×10^{-7}	2.4×10^{-10}

effect in the gap. This difference is shown in Fig. 9 as a function of temperature. We note that the thermal expansion produces a decrease in the value of $E_0(T)$ as temperature increases. At 300 K, this decrease amounts to only 8 meV, while at 1000 K, the decrease rises to a value slightly less than 110 meV. These values should be compared to the total effect of temperature in the direct gap, $E_0(T)-E_0(T=0)$, which amounts to 64 meV at 300 K and to 900 meV at 1000 K, as derived from the PI MD results shown in Fig. 5. Thus, the thermal expansion is responsible for about 10% of the total decrease in the value of E_0 at temperatures of 300 and 1000 K.

C. Comparison of E_0 for diamond, 3C-SiC, and Si

It is interesting to compare the simulation results of the direct gap $E_0(T)$ found for 3*C*-SiC with those corresponding



FIG. 9. Thermal expansion effect in the value of the direct electronic gap E_0 of 3*C*-SiC as a function of temperature. The circles are the difference between the $E_0(T)$ values obtained by PI MD simulations at P=0 (see Fig. 5) and at constant volume (see Fig. 7). The line is a guide to the eyes.



FIG. 10. Relative shifts of the direct electronic gap of diamond obtained by our PI MD (circles) and classical MD simulations (triangles) as a function of temperature. The dashed line is a fit to the experimental data of Logothetidis *et al.* for diamond IIa (Ref. 48). The zero of the energy scale was set at 7.06 eV for both experimental and simulation results.

to diamond and Si by using the same tight-binding parametrization. The studied direct gap E_0 for both diamond and Si corresponds to transitions between one-electron states with symmetries $\Gamma_{25'}$ (valence band) and Γ_{15} (conduction band). To prevent possible inaccuracies of the TB model in the determination of the thermal expansion of diamond and Si, we have performed constant volume simulations of diamond and Si with the following values of the cell parameters: a_C =3.567 Å for diamond⁴⁶ and a_{Si} =5.430 Å for Si.⁴⁷ Our simulation results of $E_0(T)$ in diamond, 3*C*-SiC, and Si will be also compared to available experimental data. Note that in this comparison, the thermal expansion effect in $E_0(T)$ is not included in our constant volume simulations.

In Fig. 10, we show the results of the PI MD and classical MD simulations for the relative shifts of the direct gap of diamond with temperature. The lines through the points correspond to numerical fits using Eqs. (5) and (4), respectively. The fitted parameters are summarized in Table V. The zeropoint renormalization of E_0 amounts to 0.705 eV, a value that agrees well with the result of 0.678 eV derived by Zollner et al. in Ref. 17 by a perturbational treatment of the electron-phonon coupling. Unfortunately, there is no experimental estimation of the renormalization of the direct gap E_0 of diamond. However, the zero-point renormalization of the indirect gap of diamond derived from luminescence data amounts to 0.37 eV.¹⁴ The broken line shown in Fig. 10 is the experimental result reported in Ref. 48 for diamond IIa based on measurements of the complex dielectric function by spectroscopic ellipsometry between 100 and 650 K. The extrapolated experimental value $E_0(T=0)$ varies from 7.06 to 7.14 eV, depending on the line-shape analysis of the spectra by using a first or a second derivative. The TB model gives a value of $E_0(T=0)=7.06$ eV in good agreement with TABLE V. Values of the parameters obtained by fitting the temperature dependence of the direct energy gap $E_0(T)$ of diamond, 3*C*-SiC, and Si with Eq. (5). The PI MD results correspond to constant volume *NVT* simulations.

	e_0	g (-V)	Θ_E
	(ev)	(ev)	(K)
PI MD C (<i>a</i> =3.567 Å)	7.802	0.740	1665.0
PI MD 3C-SiC (a=4.3594 Å)	7.461	0.555	876.4
PI MD Si (a=5.4296 Å)	3.511	0.204	513.6
Expt. C ^a	7.387	0.320	1060.0
Expt. 3C-SiC ^b	7.943	0.230	668.0
Expt. Si ^c	3.467	0.091	382.6
Expt. Si ^d	3.378	0.025	267.0

^aLogothetidis et al., Ref. 48.

^bPetalas et al., Ref. 12.

^cJellison and Modine, Ref. 50.

^dLautenschlager et al., Ref. 49.

experiment. The slope of the PI MD results at temperatures above 500 K is larger than that of the experimental data, a fact that indicates that the employed TB model overestimates the electron-phonon interaction at temperatures above 500 K.

In Fig. 11, we compare the relative shifts of the direct energy gap of 3*C*-SiC obtained by our PI MD and classical MD simulations (circles and triangles, respectively) to a fit to the experimental data derived between 90 and 550 K by spectroscopic ellipsometry. The experimental data cannot discriminate between interband electronic transitions occurring at the points Γ (critical point E_0) and along Λ (critical point E_1) in reciprocal space, as they appear in the same energy region. The fitted parameters are summarized in Table



FIG. 11. Relative shifts of the direct electronic gap of 3*C*-SiC obtained by our PI MD (circles) and classical MD simulations (triangles) as a function of temperature. The dashed line is a fit to the experimental data of Petalas *et al.* (Ref. 12). The zero of the energy scale corresponds to 6.91 eV for the simulation results and to 7.61 eV for the experimental ones.



FIG. 12. Relative shifts of the direct electronic gap of Si obtained by our PI MD (circles) and classical MD simulations (triangles) as a function of temperature. The dashed line is a fit to the experimental data of Lautenschlager *et al.* (Ref. 49), while the dotted line is a fit to the Jellison and Modine results based on polarization modulation ellipsometry (Ref. 50). The zero of the energy scale is set at 3.31 eV for the simulation results, at 3.35 eV for the dashed line, and at 3.38 eV for the dotted line.

V. The comparison to experiment shows that our computational model tends to overestimate the shift in the energy gap.

The simulation results for the temperature shift of the direct electronic gap of Si are compared to available experimental data in Fig. 12. The dashed line represents the numerical fit to the spectroscopic ellipsometric data of Lautenschlager et al.,49 while the dotted line is derived by polarization modulation ellipsometry.⁵⁰ The difference between both sets of experimental data might be due to the fact that the measured excitations are a superposition of interband transitions (E_0, E_1) along the Λ direction that includes both the Γ and L points at its boundary. Fitted parameters are collected in Table V. The extrapolated PI MD value of $E_0(T=0)$ amounts to 3.31 eV, in reasonable agreement to the experimental extrapolated results of 3.35 eV (Ref. 49) and 3.38 eV.⁵⁰ The calculated zero-point renormalization of E_0 amounts to 0.15 eV. This result is to be compared to the experimental value of 0.12 ± 0.02 eV derived by Lastras-Martínez et al. from a study of isotopically pure and natural Si.⁵¹ This experimental value has to be considered as a mixture of E_0 and E_1 transitions. The temperature shifts in E_0 derived from our PI MD simulations appear again to be larger than in the experimental data, pointing toward an overestimation of the electron-phonon interaction by the employed electronic TB Hamiltonian.

In order to compare the effects of the electron-phonon interaction in the direct gap E_0 of diamond, 3*C*-SiC, and Si, we have plotted in Fig. 13 the simulation results of $E_0(T)$ using relative energy and temperature scales. For each crystal, the energy was measured in units of its direct gap $E_0(T = 0)$ and the temperature in units of the corresponding Debye



FIG. 13. Relative values of the direct electronic gap of diamond, 3C-SiC, and Si as a function of reduced temperature. The Debye temperatures T_D are 2240 K (diamond), 1080 K (3C-SiC), and 645 K (Si) (Ref. 9). The results correspond to PI MD simulations at constant volume.

temperature. We see that by using reduced units, the direct gap of 3*C*-SiC falls roughly between the values found for diamond and Si in the studied temperature range. It has been recently shown that at very low temperatures (below 10 K for Si), the gap changes with *T* like $T^{4,52}$ Our calculations, however, do not have the necessary accuracy to reveal this dependence.

D. Pressure dependence of E_0 in 3*C*-SiC

The pressure dependence of the direct gap of 3C-SiC at 300 K was derived from simulations in the NPT ensemble up to 60 GPa. The results of the quantum PI MD and classical simulations are shown in Fig. 14. The main difference between both sets of results is a rigid shift of the E_0 values that reflects the dependence of the electron-phonon coupling on the nuclei displacements around the equilibrium positions. At a given pressure, the vibrational amplitudes are always larger for the quantum simulations. The cell parameter difference, a versus a_{cla} , has a relatively smaller effect in the shift of E_0 . For example, at P=0, the quantum result for the direct gap is 0.35 eV lower than the classical one (see Fig. 14), a value that includes the effect of the volume difference in the quantum and classical simulations. The corresponding result obtained at a constant volume at 300 K is 0.31 eV (see Fig. 7).

The derivative dE_0/dP at P=0 is readily obtained from the data in Fig. 14, giving a result of 39 meV/GPa in the quantum case versus a value of 41 meV/GPa in the classical limit at 300 K. These values are in reasonable agreement with the calculation of Park *et al.*,⁵³ which gives a value of 51 meV/GPa, based on *ab initio* calculations using a localdensity-functional approximation without considering any kind of temperature effect.

Finally, the set of simulations performed at 300 K allows us to plot *P-V* curves that can be used to derive the value of the bulk modulus B_0 and its pressure derivative B'_0 for



FIG. 14. Pressure dependence of the direct electronic gap of 3C-SiC as derived from PI MD and classical MD simulations in the *NPT* ensemble at 300 K. The statistical error of the simulation results is less than the size of the symbols.

3*C*-SiC. In Fig. 15, we show the *P*-*V* curves obtained from *NPT* simulations of 3*C*-SiC for several pressures in the range between -10 and 30 GPa. The five points calculated in the pressure interval [-10, 10] GPa were used to fit a Murnaghan equation of state⁵⁴

$$V = V_0 \left(B'_0 \frac{P}{B_0} + 1 \right)^{-1/B'_0},\tag{6}$$

where the subscript 0 indicates values at P=0. The continuous line in Fig. 15 shows the fit to the PI MC simulations



FIG. 15. *P-V* curves derived for 3C-SiC PI MD and classical MD simulations in the *NPT* ensemble at 300 K. The lines are fits to the Murnaghan equation of state using the points in the interval between [-10, 10] GPa. The statistical error of the simulation results is of the size of the symbols.

that provides the values $B_0=225$ GPa and $B'_0=4.1$. We checked that this value of B_0 is consistent with that $(B_0 = 221 \pm 8 \text{ GPa})$ derived by calculating the volume fluctuations in the *NPT* ensemble at P=0. The fluctuation relation for a given pressure is

$$B = k_B T \frac{\langle V \rangle}{\langle V^2 \rangle - \langle V \rangle^2}.$$
 (7)

The calculated value of B_0 is in excellent agreement with the experimental data,^{55–57} and also the calculated value of B'_0 = 4.1 agrees with experiment ($B'_0=4\pm0.3$).⁵⁸ The values obtained from the Murnaghan fit of the classical simulation results were $B_{0,cla}=230$ GPa and $B'_{0,cla}=4.2$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The simulation method employed in this work has demonstrated its capability for the description of anharmonic effects related to the phonon-phonon interaction, as well as for the treatment of the electron-phonon coupling in semiconducting solids as a function of temperature. Thus, this type of simulations is an alternative to perturbational treatments, with the advantage of being also applicable in cases where the convergence of a perturbational series might be slow. A prerequisite to account for phonon-phonon and electronphonon interactions is a quantum description that includes both electrons and nuclei. In this respect, the Feynman formulation of statistical mechanics allows us to simulate the quantum mechanical properties of the atomic nuclei in the solid at finite temperatures with either Monte Carlo or molecular dynamics techniques. A particular advantage of PI MD is that the algorithm can be easily parallelized, as the calculation of total energies and forces for each of the L different replicas of the solid that are generated by the discretization of the path integral (Trotter number) can be run in a different processor. The use of an electronic Hamiltonian to describe the interatomic interactions in the solid allows us to study also electronic properties in the simulations. At present, we have limited ourselves to simplified, although accurate, Hamiltonians of the tight-binding type. However, in the future, an interesting improvement will be the combination of PI with ab initio parameter-free Hamiltonians.

The PI MD simulation of 3C-SiC has been able to reproduce different experimental properties of the solid. Moreover, the comparison to classical simulations allowed us to evaluate the magnitude of quantum effects as a function of temperature, as well as to determine the separate contribution of each type of nuclei (either C or Si) to several properties. The employed potential model predicts a cell parameter as a function of temperature, a(T), in good agreement with the available experimental data. The correct description of this anharmonic property points toward a realistic treatment of the phonon-phonon interactions by our computational model. The main discrepancy is found at temperatures above 600 K, where the linear expansion coefficient is predicted to be about 8% larger than the experimental one. The zero-point renormalization of the cell parameter of 3C-SiC is calculated to be $\Delta a/a = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ ($\Delta a = a - a_{cla}$). We find that this value

is close to the sum of zero-point renormalizations obtained when only one type of atomic nuclei (either Si or C) is treated quantum mechanically. This near linear behavior is probably related to the fact that the cell parameter renormalization depends only on second-order terms in the nuclei displacements, due to the small magnitude of $\Delta a/a$.

The direct electronic gap at Γ of 3*C*-SiC has a significant temperature dependence as a consequence of the electronphonon coupling. The effect of the zero-point vibrations of the lattice phonons leads to a gap renormalization of $\Delta E_0 = -0.69 \text{ eV} (\Delta E_0 = E_0 - E_{0,cla})$. This effect is so large that any theoretical approach aiming at a quantitative determination of the direct electronic gap cannot be based only on an improved solution of the many-body electron problem, but it should also include the treatment of the electron-phonon interaction. The calculated relative value of the zero-point renormalization is $\Delta E_0/E_0 = -0.10$. In this case, the sum of the separate Si and C contributions is found to be lower than the total zero-point renormalization. This nonlinear behavior suggests that fourth-order terms in the nuclei displacements are important in this case, as a result of the large value of $\Delta E_0/E_0$. The ratio of the partial contributions of C and Si to the zero-point gap renormalization is 1.67 at P=0. This is rather close to the inverse square root of the ratio of the corresponding masses $(28/12)^{1/2} = 1.53$. The latter represents the ratio of squares of zero-point vibrational amplitudes, under the assumption of equal force constants, in the harmonic approximation. The calculated pressure coefficient of E_0 is 39 meV/GPa at 300 K. An ab initio calculation without considering temperature and electron-phonon interactions gives a value of 51 meV/GPa. Our calculation of the bulk modulus of 3C-SiC at 300 K (B=225 GPa) and its pressure derivative (B'=4.1) shows quantitative agreement with the experiment.

The calculated zero-point renormalizations of the direct gap at Γ of diamond and Si amount to 0.7 and 0.15 eV, respectively. For Si, the renormalization derived from spectroscopic ellipsometry of isotopic crystals amounts to 0.12 ± 0.02 eV.⁵¹ The experiment cannot discriminate between direct transitions at Γ and along Λ , as they appear at similar energies. In the case of diamond, a calculation based on perturbation theory results in a value of the direct gap renormalization of 0.68 eV,¹⁷ in good agreement with our nonperturbational result. The experimental value for the renormalization of the indirect gap of diamond amounts to 0.37 eV.¹⁴ The comparison of the simulation results of diamond, 3C-SiC, and Si with available experimental data shows that the employed model Hamiltonian tends to overestimate the decrease of E_0 with temperature, i.e., the electron-phonon coupling in the employed TB model seems to be too strong. This fact is another motivation for improving the electronic model in future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The calculations presented here were performed at the Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC-CNS). This work was supported by CICYT through Grant No. FIS2006-12117-C04-03 and by CAM through Project No. S-0505/

ESP/000237. E.R.H. thanks DURSI (regional government of Catalonia) for funding through Project No. 2005SGR683.

APPENDIX A: PAIR POTENTIAL FOR Si-C

The pair potential is parametrized by using the following functional form:³³

$$E_{\rm SiC}(R) = \sum_{n=2}^{10} d_n (R_c - R)^n,$$
(A1)

where *R* is the interatomic Si-C distance, R_c =4.4 a.u., and $E_{SiC}(R)=0$ if $R > R_c$. The original coefficients d_n were modified to decrease the anharmonicity of the Si-C potential at distances around 3.55 a.u. that corresponds to the nearest neighbors in 3*C*-SiC. The modified d_n coefficients are (in a.u.) $d_2=0.068$ 25, $d_3=-0.493$ 29, $d_4=2.377$ 16, $d_5=-5.795$ 11, $d_6=7.907$ 79, $d_7=-6.274$ 67, $d_8=2.866$ 25, $d_9=-0.695$ 79, and $d_{10}=0.069$ 26. The procedure to fix the d_n coefficients was to calculate curves of internal energy versus volume in the classical limit at T=0, i.e., the atoms occupy fixed equilibrium positions at a given volume. The Taylor expansion of the internal energy around its minimum at V_{cla} can be expressed as

$$\Delta E \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{B_{cla}}{V_{cla}} (\Delta V)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{B_{cla}}{V_{cla}^2} (-1 - B_{cla}') (\Delta V)^3, \quad (A2)$$

with $\Delta V = V - V_{cla}$, and B_{cla} and B'_{cla} being the bulk modulus and its pressure derivative in the classical limit at T=0 and P=0. The values used in this expansion were B_{cla} =245 GPa, $B'_{cla}=4$, and $a_{cla}=V^{1/3}_{cla}=4.3457$ Å. The original analytic form of $E_{SiC}(R)$ was modified to obtain a reasonable approximation to the ΔE curve in Eq. (A2) for a range of volumes defined by a cell parameter in the interval $a_{cla}\pm 0.4$ a.u.

APPENDIX B: PRESSURE ESTIMATOR IN THE NVT ENSEMBLE

The Cartesian coordinates of the *N* atomic nuclei in the crystal are denoted as $x_i^{(\alpha)}$, where the superscript α runs from 1 to 3*N*. The subscript *i* denotes the "bead" associated with a given atomic nucleus and runs from 1 to the Trotter number *L*. The staging coordinates $u_i^{(\alpha)}$ are defined by a linear transformation of $x_i^{(\alpha)}$ that diagonalizes the harmonic energy between neighboring beads,^{37,39}

$$u_1^{(\alpha)} = x_1^{(\alpha)},\tag{B1}$$

$$u_i^{(\alpha)} = x_i^{(\alpha)} - \frac{(i-1)}{i} x_{i+1}^{(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{i} x_1^{(\alpha)}, \quad i = 2, \dots, L.$$
(B2)

The pressure estimator in our *NVT* PI MD simulation is obtained as

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{3V} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3N} m_i^{(\alpha)} [v_i^{(\alpha)}]^2 - \frac{2}{3V} E_{arm} - \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{\partial U(\mathbf{R}_i)}{\partial V},$$
(B3)

where $m_i^{(\alpha)}$ and $v_i^{(\alpha)}$ represent the dynamic mass and velocity associated with the staging coordinate $u_i^{(\alpha)}$. These masses are given as^{39,37}

$$m_1^{(\alpha)} = m_\alpha, \tag{B4}$$

$$m_i^{(\alpha)} = \frac{i}{i-1}m_{\alpha}, \quad i = 2, \dots, L,$$
 (B5)

where m_{α} is the nuclear mass associated with coordinate α . E_{arm} represents the harmonic energy between beads, which in terms of the stagging coordinates is written as

$$E_{arm} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{L} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3N} m_i^{(\alpha)} [u_i^{(\alpha)}]^2.$$
(B6)

The last summand in Eq. (B3) represents the volume derivative of the potential energy of the crystal for the nuclei configuration $\mathbf{R}_i = (x_i^1, \dots, x_i^{3N})$. We have performed this derivative numerically by considering an expansion of 10^{-4} Å in the cell parameter, 2a, of the simulation cell, although it is also possible to calculate this derivative from the stress tensor obtained through the Hellman-Feynman theorem. The fractional coordinates $x_i^{(\alpha)}/2a$ of the nuclei remain constant along this volume expansion, implying that all Cartesian coordinates $x_i^{(\alpha)}$ must change according to the modified value of 2a.

¹H. Matsunami, Microelectron. Eng. **83**, 2 (2006).

- ²Silicon Carbide and Related Materials 2001, Materials Science Forum Vol. 389-393, edited by S. Yoshida, S. Nishino, H. Harima, and T. Kimoto (Trans-Tech., Zurich, 2002), and references therein.
- ³D. Olego, M. Cardona, and P. Vogl, Phys. Rev. B **25**, 3878 (1982).
- ⁴D. Olego and M. Cardona, Phys. Rev. B 25, 3889 (1982).
- ⁵A. Debernardi, C. Ulrich, M. Cardona, and K. Syassen, Phys. Status Solidi B **223**, 213 (2001).
- ⁶K. Karch, F. Bechstedt, P. Pavone, and D. Strauch, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8, 2945 (1996).
- ⁷S. Biernacki and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 290 (1989).
- ⁸T. Sato, K. Ohashi, T. Sudoh, K. Haruna, and H. Maeta, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 092102 (2002).
- ⁹G. A. Slack and S. F. Bartram, J. Appl. Phys. 46, 89 (1975).
- ¹⁰D. N. Talwar and J. C. Sherbondy, Appl. Phys. Lett. **67**, 3301 (1995).
- ¹¹K. Karch, P. Pavone, W. Windl, O. Schütt, and D. Strauch, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 17054 (1994).
- ¹²J. Petalas, S. Logotheditis, M. Gioti, and C. Janowitz, Phys. Status Solidi B **209**, 499 (1998).
- ¹³M. Cardona, Phys. Status Solidi A 188, 1209 (2001).
- ¹⁴M. Cardona, Solid State Commun. **133**, 3 (2005).
- ¹⁵M. Cardona and M. L. W. Thewalt, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 1173 (2005).
- ¹⁶P. B. Allen and M. Cardona, Phys. Rev. B 23, 1495 (1981).
- ¹⁷S. Zollner, M. Cardona, and S. Gopalan, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 3376 (1992).
- ¹⁸R. D. King-Smith, R. J. Needs, V. Heine, and M. J. Hodgson, Europhys. Lett. **100**, 569 (1989). In this unusual work, the temperature dependence of the energy gap was calculated by evaluating the effect of an electron-hole excitation on the ensemble of phonons.
- ¹⁹R. P. Feynman, *Statistical Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1972).
- ²⁰D. M. Ceperley, Rev. Mod. Phys. **67**, 279 (1995).
- ²¹D. Marx and M. Parrinello, Science **271**, 179 (1996).
- ²²M. E. Tuckerman, D. Marx, M. L. Klein, and M. Parrinello, Sci-

ence 275, 817 (1997).

- ²³R. Ramírez, E. Hernández, J. Schulte, and M. C. Böhm, Chem. Phys. Lett. **291**, 44 (1998).
- ²⁴M. Tachikawa and M. Shiga, Chem. Phys. Lett. **407**, 135 (2005).
- ²⁵ M. Shiga, M. Tachikawa, and S. Miura, J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 9149 (2001).
- ²⁶B. Chen, I. Ivanov, M. L. Klein, and M. Parrinello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 215503 (2003).
- ²⁷ F. Della Sala, R. Rousseau, A. Görling, and D. Marx, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 183401 (2004).
- ²⁸Y. Ohta, K. Ohta, and K. Kinugawa, J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 10991 (2004).
- ²⁹R. Ramírez, C. P. Herrero, and E. R. Hernández, Phys. Rev. B 73, 245202 (2006).
- ³⁰C. P. Herrero, R. Ramírez, and E. R. Hernández, Phys. Rev. B 73, 245211 (2006).
- ³¹D. Mark and J. Hutter, in *Modern Methods and Algorithms of Quantum Chemistry Proceedings*, edited by J. Grotendorst (NIC, FZ Jülich, 2000), p. 301.
- ³²M. E. Tuckerman, in *Quantum Simulations of Complex Many-Body Systems: From Theory to Algorithms*, edited by J. Grotendorst, D. Marx, and A. Muramatsu (NIC, FZ Jülich, 2002a), p. 299.
- ³³D. Porezag, T. Frauenheim, T. Köhler, G. Seifert, and R. Kaschner, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 12947 (1995).
- ³⁴M. J. Gillan, Philos. Mag. A 58, 257 (1988).
- ³⁵H. Kleinert, Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics and Polymer Physics (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990).
- ³⁶G. J. Martyna, M. E. Tuckerman, D. J. Tobias, and M. L. Klein, Mol. Phys. **87**, 1117 (1996).
- ³⁷M. E. Tuckerman, in *Quantum Simulations of Complex Many-Body Systems: From Theory to Algorithms* (Ref. 32), p. 269.
- ³⁸G. J. Martyna, A. Hughes, and M. E. Tuckerman, J. Chem. Phys. 110, 3275 (1999).
- ³⁹M. E. Tuckerman and A. Hughes, in *Classical & Quantum Dynamics in Condensed Phase Simulations*, edited by B. J. Berne, G. Ciccotti, and D. F. Coker (Word Scientific, Singapore, 1998), p. 311.
- ⁴⁰M. E. Tuckerman, J. Alejandre, R. López-Rendón, A. L. Jochim,

and G. J. Martyna, J. Phys. A 39, 5629 (2006).

- ⁴¹ Physics of Group IV Elements and III-IV Compounds, Landolt-Börnstein, New Series, Group III, Vol. 17a, edited by O. Madelung (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).
- ⁴² M. Willatzen, M. Cardona, and N. E. Christensen, Phys. Rev. B 51, 13150 (1995).
- ⁴³L. A. Hemstreet, Jr. and C. Y. Fong, Phys. Rev. B 6, 1464 (1972).
- ⁴⁴G. S. Painter, D. E. Ellis, and A. R. Lubinsky, Phys. Rev. B 4, 3610 (1971).
- ⁴⁵C. Tejedor and J. A. Vergés, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 2283 (1979).
- ⁴⁶W. Kaiser and W. L. Bond, Phys. Rev. **115**, 857 (1959).
- ⁴⁷R. R. Reeber, Phys. Status Solidi A **32**, 321 (1975).
- ⁴⁸S. Logothetidis, J. Petalas, H. M. Polatoglou, and D. Fuchs, Phys. Rev. B 46, 4483 (1992).
- ⁴⁹P. Lautenschlager, M. Garriga, L. Viña, and M. Cardona, Phys. Rev. B **36**, 4821 (1987).

- ⁵⁰G. E. Jellison, Jr. and F. A. Modine, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 7466 (1983).
- ⁵¹L. F. Lastras-Martínez, T. Ruf, M. Konuma, M. Cardona, and D. E. Aspnes, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 12946 (2000).
- ⁵² M. Cardona, T. A. Meyer, and M. L. W. Thewalt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 196403 (2004).
- ⁵³C. H. Park, B.-H. Cheong, K.-H. Lee, and K. J. Chang, Phys. Rev. B 49, 4485 (1994).
- ⁵⁴F. D. Murnaghan, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 30, 244 (1944).
- ⁵⁵F. Aguado and V. G. Baonza, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 024111 (2006).
- ⁵⁶W. R. L. Lambrecht, B. Segall, M. Methfessel, and M. van Schilfgaarde, Phys. Rev. B 44, 3685 (1991).
- ⁵⁷R. D. Carnahan, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **51**, 223 (1968).
- ⁵⁸K. Strösssner, M. Cardona, and W. J. Choyke, Solid State Commun. **63**, 113 (1987).